

The Geometric Satake Equivalence II

Andrew Snowden

Thursday, June 25, 2020

University of Michigan

§1. Geometric Satake (part 2)

Where we are now

Recall from last time:

- G is a reductive group over the algebraically closed field k .
- Sat_G is the category of L^+ G -equivariant $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell$ -perverse sheaves on the affine Grassmannian Gr_G .
- We have shown that Sat_G is a rigid tensor category with fiber functor H^* (sum of all cohomology groups).
- By the Tannakian formalism, Sat_G is equivalent to $\text{Rep}(\Gamma)$ for some affine group scheme $\Gamma/\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell$.
- We proved that Γ is finite type, connected, and reductive.
- Main goal: show that Γ is the Langlands dual group G^\vee .

Braden's localization

- Let X be a variety on which \mathbf{G}_m -acts.
- Let X_0 be the \mathbf{G}_m -fixed locus.
- Let X_0^1, \dots, X_0^n be the irreducible components of X_0 . Let X_+^i be the points $x \in X$ such that ux limits into X_0^i as $u \rightarrow 0$. Let X_+ be the disjoint union of the X_+^i .
- Define X^- similar, but for $u \rightarrow \infty$.
- Let $i_{\pm}: X_{\pm} \rightarrow X$ be induced by the inclusions and $q_{\pm}: X_{\pm} \rightarrow X_0$ the limit maps.
- Braden's theorem: have isomorphism $(q_+)_! i_+^* \mathcal{F} \cong (q_-)_* i_-^! \mathcal{F}$ for equivariant perverse sheaves \mathcal{F} .

The \mathbf{G}_m action on Gr

- Fix a maximal torus T and Borel B of G such that $T \subset B$, and let U be the radical of B .
- Let $2\rho^\vee$ be the sum of the positive co-roots. This defines a homomorphism $\mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow T$, and thus an action of \mathbf{G}_m on Gr_G through the action of LG .
- The \mathbf{G}_m -fixed locus is the discrete set consisting of the points t^λ with λ an arbitrary coweight; this is identified with Gr_T .
- The X_+^λ locus is the LU orbit of t^λ ; call this S_λ . Thus X_+ is identified with Gr_B . The maps $i: \mathrm{Gr}_B \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_G$ and $q: \mathrm{Gr}_B \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_T$ are induced by $B \rightarrow G$ and $B \rightarrow T$.
- Similarly, X_- is identified with Gr_{B_-} where B_- is the opposite Borel.

An example

Suppose $G = \mathbf{GL}(n)$. Then, up to some renormalization, $2\rho^\vee$ takes $u \in \mathbf{G}_m$ to the diagonal matrix $[u^n, u^{n-1}, \dots, 1]$.

If we conjugate $g \in \mathbf{GL}(n)$ by this matrix, the upper triangular part of g is scaled by positive powers of u , the lower triangular part by negative powers, and the diagonal is fixed.

Thus if g is upper-triangular then the conjugate matrix converges to a diagonal matrix as $u \rightarrow 0$.

This proves that the S_λ loci are contained in the X_+ set.

Cohomology on S_λ

Proposition

Let $\mathcal{A} \in \text{Sat}_G$. Then $H_c^*(S_\lambda, \mathcal{A})$ is concentrated in degree $(2\rho, \lambda)$.

Proof

- Computing the dimension of $S_\lambda \cap \text{Gr}_\mu$, and bounding the amplitude of the restriction of the complex \mathcal{A} to this locus, one shows that $H_c^i(S_\lambda \cap \text{Gr}_\mu, \mathcal{A})$ vanishes for $i > (2\rho, \lambda)$.
- The loci $S_\lambda \cap \text{Gr}_\mu$ stratify S_λ . A spectral sequence argument now shows that $H^i(S_\lambda, \mathcal{A}) = 0$ for $i > (2\rho, \lambda)$.
- Note that $H_c^*(S_\lambda, \mathcal{A})$ is the λ component of $q_! i^* \mathcal{A}$.
- A dual argument shows that the cohomology of $(q_-)_*(i^-)^! \mathcal{A}$ vanishes in degrees $i < (2\rho, \lambda)$.
- The result now follows from Braden's theorem.

Cohomology on S_λ (cont)

Proposition

For $\mathcal{A} \in \text{Sat}_G$ we have $H^*(\mathcal{A}) = \bigoplus_\lambda H_c^*(S_\lambda, \mathcal{A})$.

Proof

- The S_λ stratify Gr , and so we get a spectral sequence with E_1 page $H_c^*(S_\lambda, \mathcal{A})$ converging to $H^*(\mathcal{A})$.
- It degenerates at the E_1 page for the degree reasons in the previous slide.
- We thus have a natural filtration of $H^*(\mathcal{A})$ with graded pieces $H_c^*(S_\lambda, \mathcal{A})$.
- There is a dual filtrations with graded pieces $H^*(S_\lambda^-, (i^-)!\mathcal{A})$.
- These filtrations are complementary by Braden's theorem and thus canonically split.

Cohomology on S_λ (cont)

Proposition

The space $H_c^(S_\lambda, IC_\mu)$ has a natural basis indexed by the irreducible components of $S_\lambda \cap Gr_{\leq \mu}$. It is non-zero only for $\lambda \leq \mu$, and is one-dimensional for $\lambda = \mu$.*

Proof

This also follows from properties of $S_\lambda \cap Gr_\mu$. (E.g., for the second statement, the intersection is empty unless $\lambda \leq \mu$, and for $\lambda = \mu$ it is an affine space of dimension $(2\rho, \lambda)$.)

The dual torus

- Let T^\vee be the torus dual to T . Thus the weights $X^\bullet(T^\vee)$ of T^\vee are the coweights $X_\bullet(T)$ of T .
- Giving a representation of T^\vee on a vector space is the same as giving a grading by $X_\bullet(T)$.
- We thus see that $H^*(\mathcal{A})$ has a natural representation of T^\vee .
- This defines a functor $\text{Rep}(\Gamma) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(T^\vee)$, which one proves is symmetric monoidal.
- The Tannakian formalism gives a group homomorphism $T^\vee \rightarrow \Gamma$.

The dual torus is maximal

Proposition

T^\vee is a maximal torus of Γ .

Proof

- Let $L_\mu = H^*(IC_\mu)$; these are the irreducible reps of Γ .
- We have seen that μ is the highest weight of T^\vee on L_μ , and occurs with multiplicity one.
- Since the weights appearing in representations of Γ span $X^*(T^\vee)$, it follows that $T^\vee \rightarrow \Gamma$ is injective.
- Since the T^\vee characters of the L_μ are linearly independent (by the highest weight property), it follows that T^\vee is maximal.

Completion of the proof

Theorem

We have $\Gamma = G^\vee$.

Proof

- Notion of dominance for coweights gives Borel subgroup of Γ .
- General fact: a weight λ of T^\vee is a sum of positive roots if and only if $\mu - \lambda$ appears as a weight in L_μ for some μ .
- By the result on $H_c^*(S_\lambda, -)$, this is equivalent to the existence of μ with $t^{\mu-\lambda} \in \text{Gr}_{\leq \mu}$, or $\text{Gr}_{\leq \mu-\lambda} \subset \text{Gr}_{\leq \mu}$.
- By our previous analysis of Schubert varieties, this is equivalent to λ being a sum of positive coroots.
- A simple exercise with root data shows that $\Gamma = G^\vee$.

§2. Geometric Satake (part 3)

Richarz's proof

- Richarz gave a different proof of geometric Satake. We indicate the main idea.
- The proof starts the same way: Sat_G is equivalent to $\text{Rep}(\Gamma)$ for some finite type, connected, reductive $\Gamma/\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell$, and the problem is to show that $\Gamma = G^\vee$.
- For this, Richarz uses a theorem of Kazhdan, Larsen, and Varshavsky: if G and H are reductive groups then $G \cong H$ if and only if $K_+(G) \cong K_+(H)$, where K_+ denotes the Grothendieck semiring.
- Thus, it suffices to show $K_+(\text{Sat}_G) = K_+(G^\vee)$.

Richarz's proof (cont)

To construct this isomorphism, Richarz appeals to the PRV (Parthasarathy–Ranga Rao–Varadarajan) conjecture, proved by S. Kumar:

Theorem (PRV conjecture)

Let G be a reductive group. Let λ and μ_1, \dots, μ_n be dominant weights such that $\lambda = w_1\mu_1 + \dots + w_n\mu_n$ for some elements w_1, \dots, w_n of the Weyl group. Then L_λ appears as a summand in $L_{\mu_1} \otimes \dots \otimes L_{\mu_n}$.

Richarz proves that a version of this holds in Sat_G , and that this is enough to identify $K_+(\text{Sat}_G)$ with $K_+(G^\vee)$.

§3. Comparing geometric Satake and classical Satake

The classical Satake isomorphism

- Suppose now that k is a finite field and G is a split reductive group over k .
- Recall that the Hecke algebra \mathcal{H}_G consists of the $G(\mathcal{O})$ bi-invariant \mathbf{Z} -valued functions on $G(F)$, where $F = k((t))$ and $\mathcal{O} = k[[t]]$.
- The classical Satake transform gives an isomorphism $\mathcal{S}: \mathcal{H}_G \otimes \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell \rightarrow K(G^\vee) \otimes \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell$, where $K(G^\vee)$ denotes the Grothendieck group of $\text{Rep}(G^\vee)$.
- We now compare this to the geometric Satake equivalence.

The Satake category

- One can define the Satake category Sat_G just as before.
- However, it is now “too big” since there is an extra Galois action.
- There is a notion of a “normalized” IC sheaf that takes into account the Galois action.
- The normalized IC sheaves span a tensor subcategory Sat_G^{N} of Sat_G that is equivalent to the Satake category of G over \bar{k} .
- In particular, Sat_G^{N} is equivalent to $\text{Rep}(G^\vee)$ as a tensor category.

The sheaf-function dictionary

- Suppose X is a variety over k and \mathcal{A} is a complex of ℓ -adic sheaves on X .
- We define a function $f_{\mathcal{A}}: X(k) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ by

$$f_{\mathcal{A}}(x) = \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i \operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{Frob}_x | H^i(\mathcal{A}_x)).$$

- We can in particular apply this to objects in Sat_G . We thus get a well-defined map

$$f: K(\operatorname{Sat}_G) \otimes \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_G \otimes \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$$

The sheaf-function dictionary (cont)

Proposition

The map f induces an isomorphism $K(\text{Sat}_G^N) \otimes \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell \cong \mathcal{H}_G \otimes \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell$.

Proof

- It follows directly from the definitions that the convolution product of sheaves is compatible with the convolution product of functions under the sheaf-function dictionary.
- Let c_μ be the characteristic function of the double coset $G(\mathcal{O})t^\mu G(\mathcal{O})$. These elements (with μ a dominant coweight) form an integral basis of \mathcal{H}_G by the Cartan decomposition.
- One sees that $f_{\text{IC}_\mu} = c_\mu + \dots$, where the omitted terms are smaller. This shows that f is an isomorphism.

The main result

Theorem

The following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{K}(\text{Sat}_G^N) & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathcal{H}_G \\ & \searrow s' & \swarrow s \\ & \mathbb{K}(G^\vee) & \end{array}$$

All groups should be tensored up to $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell$. The map S' is the isomorphism on \mathbb{K} groups induced by the geometric Satake equivalence.

Proof

Compute explicitly using Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomials.

References

- [R] T. Richarz. A new approach to the geometric Satake equivalence. [arXiv:1207.5314](#)
- [Z] X. Zhu. An introduction to affine Grassmannians and the geometric Satake equivalence. [arXiv:1603.05593](#)